

SOUTH ASIAN JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT RESEARCH (SAJMR)

Volume 5 Number 2

July 2013

CONTENTS

Editorial Note

Identification of Sources of Changes to India's Exports of Environmental Goods and Services
Van Son Nguyen and Kaliappa Kalirajan

411

A Study of Accidents in Kolhapur
Aithal U. B.

431

A Comparative Study of MGNREGS with Reference to Kolhapur District
Chougule D. G. and Pravin P. Chavan

436

Case Study
Industrial Emission - A Case Study
Vinod N. Sambrani

444

Book Review
Financial Management (2nd Edition)
Amardeep D. Jadhav

453



**Chhatrapati Shahu Institute of Business
Education and Research (CSIBER)**

(An Autonomous Institute)

University Road, Kolhapur- 416 004 Maharashtra State, India.



SOUTH ASIAN JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT RESEARCH (SAJMR)

ISSN 0974-763X

(An International Peer Reviewed Research Journal)

Published By

Chhatrapati Shahu Institute of Business Education and Research (CSIBER)
University Road, Kolhapur – 416 004, Maharashtra, India

Ph: 91-231-2535706/07 Fax: 91-231-2535708 Website: www.siberindia.co.in

Email: sajmr@siberindia.co.in, sibersajmr@gmail.com

- Patron
Late Dr. A. D. Shinde
- Editor
Dr. T. V. G. Sarma
CSIBER, Kolhapur, India
- Editorial Board Members
Dr. Francisco J. L. S. Diniz
CETRAD, Portugal
- Dr. R. A. Shinde**
CSIBER, Kolhapur, India
- Dr. Paul B. Carr**
Regent University, USA
- Dr. M. M. Ali**
Director, CSIBER, Kolhapur, India
- Dr. R. V. Kulkarni**
CSIBER, Kolhapur, India
- Dr. Babu Thomas**
St. Aloysius Inst. Of Mgt. & IT. Mangalore, India
- Dr. K. Lal Das**
RSSW, Hyderabad, India
- Dr. M. Nand Kumar**
Goa University, Goa
- Dr. Gary Owens**
CERAR, Australia
- Dr. P.R. Puranik**
NMU, Jalgaon, India
- Dr. Babu Zachariah**
SIBER, Kolhapur, India
- Dr. Rajendra Nargundkar**
IFIM, Bangalore, India
- Dr. Yogesh B. Patil**
Symbolis Inst. of International Business, Pune, India
- Dr. R. M. Bhajracharya**
Kathmandu University, Nepal
- Dr. R. L. Hyderabad**
Karnataka University, India
- Dr. K. Pradeepkumar**
SIBER, Kolhapur,
- Dr. K. V. M. Varambally**
Manipal Inst. of Management, India
- Dr. B. U. Dhandra**
Gulbarga University, India
- Academic Assistance
Mr. V. Ravi Kishore Kumar
CSIBER, Kolhapur

Editorial Note

In the recent times higher education has become more and more interdisciplinary. An educated individual is expected to understand the basic issues of almost all the subjects apart from the main area of his specialization. For instance a trained management graduate is expected to have an orientation of all the functional areas along with his main specialization. Needless to say that he should possess the skills of computer along with mathematical and statistical skills. The decision making capacity of the trained graduates improves with all these newly acquired traits.

The B-schools realizing this emerging trend in the business environment are modifying their curriculum by introducing the new concepts. The educated post-graduate student at all levels is expected to be multi-skilled and ready to work in the new and dynamic environment. Personality development oriented courses are being given as add-on modules for better employability.

Recognizing these trends in the higher education and the requirement in the job market, we have encouraged articles of interdisciplinary nature in the present issue. The articles range from study of exports, accidents, pollution and other related issues. A book review on an important topic of financial management has also been included in this issue. All these articles are applied in nature and demonstrate the use of statistical techniques for writing good research articles. Therefore it is hoped that the research papers published in the present issue will serve as a good reference for researchers in all fields.

Dr. T. V. G. Sarma
Editor

Identification of Sources of Changes to India's Exports of Environmental Goods and Services

Van Son Nguyen

Crawford School of Public Policy, The Australian National University

Kaliappa Kalirajan

Crawford School of Public Policy, The Australian National University

Abstract: The increasing awareness of climate change and its impact on overall economic growth has encouraged many countries to pursue environmental friendly production and consumption of goods and services. Based on their comparative advantages, developing countries too are emerging as exporters of environmental goods and services (EGS) along with developed countries. An important question in this context is what are the sources of constraints to exports growth for these emerging EGS-exporting developing economies. Using data between 1996 and 2010, this paper identifies the constraints that India, which is one of the fast growing EGS exporting economies, faces for its EGS exports growth. The empirical results show that the growth of India's exports of EGS was negatively affected by its 'behind the border' constraints, while the reduction of India's trading partners' 'implicit beyond the border' constraints has made significant positive contribution to India's exports of EGS, especially during the period of analysis.

Keywords: Environmental goods and services, stochastic frontier gravity model, 'behind the border' constraints, 'explicit beyond the border constraints', 'implicit beyond the border' constraints, Asia Pacific countries.

1.0 Introduction

The East Asian experience confirms that one of the important sources of economic growth is export promotion. While export promotion of goods, particularly manufacturing goods would contribute to economic growth positively, they do contribute negatively in terms of environmental degradation. Thus, there is a great concern expressed in the literature about striking a balance between exports and environment, which is more important for developing countries, though it is important for developed countries too (Garnaut, 2011). India, which is one of the fast growing emerging economies, has increased the levels of living of its people in terms of increasing the per capita income since its 1991 economic reform. Based on the World Bank (WB) data, India's per capita GDP rose from USD 308 to approximately USD 1500 between 1991 and 2011. It also has attracted the attention of the world that India's growth trajectory would lead to various negative impacts on the environment. For example, India's remarkable economic growth has put pressure on energy demand and caused environmental problems such as air pollution, water pollution, garbage pollution and land quality degradation (Agarwal 2011). India's cumulative energy related CO₂ emission is

expected to reach 80 billion tons in 2030 (IEA, 2009). As a consequence, The Indian government has issued policy statements on forestry, abatement of pollution, national conservation strategy and environment and development to deal with those problems (Gaba et al., 2011).

The increasing awareness of climate change not only in India but also in the world leads to the requirements of environmental protection which results in high demand for environmental goods and services (EGS). During 2007 – 2008, the global market value of low carbon and EGS was £3,046 billion, in which Asia accounted for 38 per cent and India 6.3 per cent (BERR, 2009). Also, the high demand for services concerning the equipment for filtration and purification of water and solid waste handling and disposal in Thailand, Malaysia, Philippines and Indonesia will provide great opportunities for countries like India to export EGS, because the environmental goods and services production has emerged as a distinct industry in India recently. For example, the share of EGS in total exports rose from 1.4 percent in 1996 to reach a peak of 2.28 percent in 2009 (Figure 1). However, despite the low effective tariffs on EGS, the "behind the

border” constraints or non-tariffs barriers in many EGS exporting countries including India are very high. Consequently, trade and investment in EGS are low in comparison with those in pollution intensive products. It is in this context, the important question concerns the identification of the sources that influence changes in EGS exports growth for India. Given the importance of the Asia Pacific countries for India's EGS exports, the top 10 Asia Pacific markets are considered for empirical analysis in this study. These countries include: Australia, Canada, China, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Republic of Korea, Singapore, Thailand, Vietnam, and the United States.

The paper is structured as follows. The next section provides the concepts of EGS and overview of India's exports of EGS. Section 3 describes the methodology, empirical model, and data. Section 4 describes the empirical model and discusses the results of estimation along with the results of the decomposition of the changes in EGS exports between 1996 and 2000 and between 2005 and 2010. The conclusions and policy implications are drawn in section 5.

2.0 Overview of EGS and India's exports of EGS

2.1 Definition and classification of EGS

The definition of EGS has been contentious issues in the WTO negotiations. Hamwey(2003) describes that an environmental good is considered any equipment, material or technology used to address a particular environmental problem or a product that is itself “environmentally preferable” to other similar products because of its relatively benign impact on the environment.

There are also narrow and broader definitions of EGS. Environmental goods can be narrowed down to goods whose use results in a beneficial environmental impact, such as catalytic converters for automobile exhausts. In this definition, environmental goods are actually the capital goods or technologies which are required for 'end-of-the pipe' pollution abatement. The broader definition, on the other hand, takes into account the environmental characteristics of the goods themselves and/or their production processes. This includes the industrial goods used to provide environmental services to address

pollution and waste affecting water, soil and air. These goods generally have multiple end-uses and they have relatively less negative impacts on the environment at the production, consumption or disposal stage, or even in terms of being produced in an environmentally benign manner or with 'clean technology'. Examples of these types of goods include: pumps, valves, compressors, tanks and containers, chemicals used in water purification, air/water filters, trash compactors, brooms, plastic lining material for landfill sites, ceramic wares and furnaces used in incineration, sorting equipment for recycling, measuring equipment to monitor the environment, noise reducing mufflers, etc. (Katti 2005).

The issue of classification of EGS is important because it will set clear parameter on the types of goods that are actually liberalized. There are different approaches towards identification of goods that WTO members have proposed over the past few years for multilateral liberalization of trade in EGS. The first suggestion is a list of environment-friendly products which is proposed by the “Friend of Environmental Goods” group including Canada, EU, Japan, Republic of Korea, New Zealand, Norway, Switzerland, Taiwan and the US. The list has wide-ranging coverage containing 153 goods and services with the aim of securing a zero tariff for these products by 2013. In addition, India has advocated the “Environmental project approach”, whereby each WTO member would designate a national authority to select environmental project based upon criteria developed by the Special Session of the Committee on Trade and Environment. Following the framework of WTO, EGS can be classified by 12 groups namely, air pollution control, management of solid and hazardous waste and recycling systems, Cleanup or remediation of soil and water, renewable energy plant, heat and energy management, waste water management and potable water treatment, environmentally preferable products, based on end use or disposal characteristics, cleaner or more resource efficient technologies and products, natural risk management, natural resources protection, noise and vibration abatement, and environmental monitoring, analysis and assessment equipment (Monkelbann 2011).

2.2 India's exports of EGS

Although the sector which produces EGS

was virtually non-existent in India two decades ago, India has been turning into a major exporter as well as a promising market for EGS. The domestic environmental industry is still highly disorganized and dominated by small scale units. The environmental business is shared by a number of entities, including equipment suppliers, system suppliers, engineering procurement and construction contractors, consultants and service providers (Katti 2005).

The contribution of EGS export is increasingly important for India. Table 1 shows the export value of EGS by groups over time. Before 2006, the group of waste water management and portable water treatment brought the highest value in terms of EGS exports. However, the export of renewable energy plant has played the most important role in the contribution (more than USD 2 trillion in 2010) of EGS exports. The export of air pollution control also brings high income for India. For example it accounted for more than USD 1 trillion in 2010.

According to the recent data of India's exports of EGS, the Asia Pacific countries are the important markets for EGS from India and the value of India's EGS exports to these markets is increasing overtime. The US is a major importing partner, which imports most of India's EGS; for example, about 20 percent of the EGS consisting of renewable energy plant group was exported to the US market in 2010. The values of the goods in the groups of waste water management and potable water treatment and noise and vibration abatement sold in the US were around USD 300 million and USD 180 million respectively. In addition, China, Thailand, Malaysia and Australia are also dominant importers of India's EGS in the groups of clean up or remediation of soil and water (China – USD 10 million, Malaysia – USD 8 million), management of solid and hazardous waste and recycling systems (Thailand – USD 34 million) and heat and energy management (Australia – USD 35 million).

3.0 Methodology, Empirical Model, and Data

3.1 Methodology

Gravity model which is based on Newton's law in physics is the most successful approach to empirically examine the factors affecting trade between countries. Although the gravity

model is criticized for its lack of theoretical underpinnings, there have been many researchers including Anderson (1979) and Bergstrand (1989) have provided theoretical underpinning for the basic gravity models.

There are many studies that tried to improve the basic gravity model. For example, Harris and Mátyás (1998) showed that there are some omitted variables in the basic model such as exchange rates and foreign currency reserves. Also, Anderson and van Wincoop (2003) argued that the conventional ordinary least squares estimation may suffer from omitted variables bias and the comparative statics analysis would be unfounded. Another problem of the gravity model with respect to the omitted variables concerns the exclusion of 'trade resistances', such as 'behind the border' constraints or non-tariff barriers from the gravity equation. To deal with these problems, researchers have suggested different methods of modeling and estimation of the gravity equation. For example, some suggested fixed effects models (e.g. Bayoumi and Eichengreen 1997), while Egger (2008) suggested the use of panel data models which are non-linear in trade costs, and Feenstra (2002) used price differences between trading partners in his specification of the gravity model. Since McCallum (1995) many empirical papers have used 'remoteness' variables, generally defined

by $\sum_{m \neq j} \frac{d_{im}}{y_m}$ where d is distance and y is GDP and the whole term represents the weighted average distance of country i from all its trading partners, except the particular partner j . Anderson and Wincoop (2003) criticized these remoteness variables and suggest another multilateral resistance term. However, these solutions are either not based on any theoretical arguments or cannot fully capture the inherent bias in the empirical estimation. These also give biased results for not taking care of heteroskedasticity and non-normality of the error term of the gravity equation, which emanate from the omitted variables bias.

Kalirajan (2008) suggested an alternative methodology to model and estimate the gravity model taking into account of 'behind the border constraints', which have bearings on heteroskedasticity and non-normality of the error term, drawing on the modeling and estimation procedures used in the stochastic frontier production function literature (Kumbhakar and Lovell, 2000). The advantage of using the stochastic frontier gravity model is

that it is possible to incorporate and measure the effects of 'behind the border' constraints on exports, when the researcher does not have full information about these constraints.

Drawing on Kalirajan (2008), export growth can be decomposed in terms of the different components of the determinants of export growth, such as 'natural' determinants, 'behind the border' determinants, 'explicit beyond the border' determinants, and 'implicit beyond the border' determinants. Thus, the supply of EGS (X) depends on many factors. First, it depends on the GDP and population of importing countries. The assumption is that higher income and population in foreign countries would generally lead to an increase in demand for EGS from India. However, the relationship between distance and EGS exports is negative due to the higher cost of transportation. These factors can be named as 'natural determinants' of export flows between countries.

Next, 'explicit beyond the border' determinants such as the relative price of the imported goods and services that are mainly influenced by importing countries' tariff and exchange rate are another factor affecting export performance. This factor is expected to have a negative correlation with EGS exports because increasing tariffs and devaluation of domestic currencies lead to higher imported prices in the domestic market. Therefore, the demand for imports is reduced.

Different kinds of institutional and infrastructural rigidities that exist in the exporting countries, such as poor port facilities may also influence exports negatively and these factors may be referred to as 'behind the border' determinants in the home country, which are under the control of the exporting countries. Unfortunately, it is difficult for researchers to quantify all the 'behind the border determinants' individually. Nevertheless, the combined effects of all these determinants can be modeled as a random variable with a truncated normal distribution.

Also, different kinds of institutional and infrastructural rigidities that exist in the importing countries would influence export flows negatively and these factors may be called as 'implicit beyond the border' determinants, which are beyond the control of the exporting countries. It is modeled as a random variable with a full normal distribution. Free trade agreements (FTA) that are in the form of improvement in trade promotion and

facilitation policies of both India and its trading partners are expected to positively influence EGS exports of India. A dummy variable (TA) can be used to represent whether there are such trade agreements and the influence of these factors on exports may be named 'mutually induced determinants'.

3.2. Empirical Model

The empirical stochastic frontier gravity model, which is used in this study, is:

$$\ln(X_{ij}) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \ln(\text{GDP}_{ij}) + \alpha_3 \ln(\text{POP}_{ij}) + \alpha_4 \ln(\text{DIST}_{ij}) + \alpha_5 \ln(\text{EXR}_{ij}) + \alpha_6 (T_{ij}) + \alpha_7 (TA_{ij}) - u_{ij} + v_{ij} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

In which, X_{ij} describes India's exports of EGS to country i by group EGS j ; GDP_i and POP_i measure the gross product (GDP) and population of country i by group EGS j ; DIST_i indicates the distance from New Delhi to the capital city of India's partner country i by group EGS j ; EXR_{ij} is the nominal exchange rate of the local currency of India's trading partners and the US dollar; T_{ij} is the average tariff of importing country i by group EGS j ; TA_{ij} is a dummy variable, which is equal to 1 if country i has a trade agreement with India, otherwise 0; u_{ij} refers to the combined effect of 'behind the border constraints' in India; and v_{ij} is 'normal' statistical error term and implicit 'beyond the border' constraints. It is assumed that u_{ij} is zero if the influence of 'behind the border constraints' is not significant and otherwise, it takes a positive value. u_{ij} is assumed to follow a truncated normal distribution, truncated at zero and v_{ij} is assumed to follow a full normal distribution with mean zero and a constant variance. Using the joint density functions of u_{ij} and v_{ij} , the maximum likelihood estimation can be used to estimate the production coefficients, $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_7$ along with the total variance and the parameter γ , which is the ratio of the variance due to the combined effect of 'behind the border constraints' to the total variance of exports. Thus, γ indicates whether 'behind the border constraints' are one of the determinants of total exports of EGS. When γ is significant, it means that 'behind the border constraints' are important determinants of EGS exports (Kalirajan 2012). The software FRONTIER 4.1 (Coelli 1996) is used to estimate this model (1) for 4 different years, 1996, 2000, 2005 and 2010.

The methodology of export decomposition is explained in Figure 2. F_1 is the potential export frontier of the home country in the

period 1 in the absence of any 'behind the border constraints' and Y_1^* in period 1 is called potential exports. The actual export is Y_1 , that is less than Y_1^* due to the existence of 'behind the border constraints' emanating from infrastructure constraints, institutional rigidities and other similar weakness in the home country. EI_1 is export inefficiency resulting from 'behind the border constraints', which prevent exports in period 1 from reaching their potential. EI_1 is measured as the vertical distance between actual exports and potential exports for the given export determinants X_1 . However, 'implicit beyond the border constraints' tend to change due to multilateral or bilateral negotiations or trade facilitation steps taken by partner countries. These would generally shift the potential export frontier from F_1 to F_2 in period 2. Nevertheless, while Y_2^* represents potential exports without any 'behind the border constraints' in the home country, Y_2 is actual exports had there not been any 'behind the border constraints' in the home country in period 2. Potential exports growth due to the reduction in 'implicit beyond the border constraints' can be measured by the vertical distance between the frontier in period 1 (Y_1^*) and the frontier in period 2 (Y_1^{**}) evaluated for the same levels of determinants of exports in period 1.

The change in realized exports can be decomposed as follows (Khan and Kalirajan (2011):

$$\begin{aligned}
 D &= Y_2 - Y_1 = A + B + C \\
 &= [Y_1^* - Y_1] + [Y_1^{**} - Y_1^*] + [Y_2 - Y_1^{**}] \\
 &= [Y_1^* - Y_1] + [Y_1^{**} - Y_1^*] + [Y_2^* - Y_1^{**}] - [Y_2^* - Y_2] \\
 &= \{[Y_1^* - Y_1] - [Y_2^* - Y_2]\} + [Y_1^{**} - Y_1^*] + [Y_2 - Y_1^{**}] \\
 &= \{EI_1 - EI_2\} + CIBBC + GCD
 \end{aligned}$$

Where,

$EI_1 - EI_2$ = differences between export inefficiency in period 1 and period 2 resulting from changes in 'behind the border' constraints in the home country.

CIBBC = changes in exports due to the trade facilitation steps taken by partner countries, leading to changes in 'implicit beyond the border' constraints.

GCD = changes in exports due to the sum of changes in the core natural determinants of trade like size, income per capita; changes in

'mutually induced determinants, such as trade agreements; and changes in 'explicit beyond the border' constraints, which include tariffs and exchange rates.

Thus, the changes in exports between two periods may result from the reduction in 'behind the border' constraints over time through home country' domestic reforms; reduction in both 'explicit and implicit beyond the border' constraints in partner countries due to partner countries' reforms and mutual discussions; increase in export demand in partner countries due to increase in partner countries' income levels and population; and implementation of trade agreements between home and partner countries.

3.3 Data

EGS used in this study are the WTO 153 list, which are divided into 12 groups. The data of exports of EGS from India is collected from the official website of World Integrated Trade Solution (WITS) in the period between 1996 and 2010. While GDP, population, exchange rate are derived from the official website of World Bank. The data of distance is calculated between capital cities between India and its partner countries through the website of Distance Calculator. Tariff data is extracted from WITS by HS 6-digits and then tariff is calculated by average tariff for 12 groups of EGS. Trade agreements are collected from the website of Ministry of Commerce and Industry of India.

4.0 Results of Estimation & Decomposition

4.1 Results of Estimation

The estimated results are shown in Table 3. All the coefficients have been changing over time from 1996 to 2010, which indicates that the influence of different types of determinants of EGS exports has been changing overtime.

For example, the coefficients of GDP are positive at the 1 percent significant level, increasing from 0.1718 in 1996 to 0.2203 in 2000 and it reduces to 0.1551 with 15 percent significance in 2010. This shows that in the period from 1996 to 2000 the income of partner countries had an increasingly positive effect on India's EGS exports. Nevertheless, the impact of importing countries' GDP has become smaller in subsequent years. The reasons may be many. For example, as India's trading partners' income increases, they may produce import-substituting EGS, which then would

reduce the imports from India.

It is interesting to see that the dependence of India's EGS exports on distance declines continuously over time. The sign of the coefficient of distance is negative as expected, but the absolute value of that is reducing overtime and it is not significant in 2010. This implies that the demand for EGS from India does not depend on the distance from importing countries to India. As environmental services exports rather than environmental goods exports seem to be a major component of India's EGS exports, distance may not be a significant determinant of India's EGS exports. This conjecture may be justified by examining the coefficient of distance from other empirical studies on India's merchandise exports, which show that the distance variable has significantly larger negative influence on exports (Kalirajan, 2007).

The coefficients of exchange rate have negative signs as expected with the significance at least of 10 percent. This means that when India's partner countries depreciate their currencies, the prices of EGS imported from India are relatively more expensive. Consequently, the demand for India's EGS is reduced. This factor is not under the control of India and belongs to 'explicit beyond the border' constraints.

Tariff is another important factor in determining India's exports of EGS. The coefficient of tariff reduction from -0.0625 in 2005 to -0.0293 in 2010 means that the tariff rates of partner countries on India's exports of EGS have become less relevant, on average, in terms of trade restrictiveness during this time. This is an indication of the effectiveness of the reduction in 'explicit beyond the border' trade costs in importing countries.

The magnitude of the coefficient of trade agreement has declined over time. This may be explained through actual export activities of India. An example of this is that there is not any trade agreement between India and the US but the value of India's export of EGS to the US accounted for 20 percent of total EGS exports in 2010.

The high value of gamma, which varies from 0.90 to 0.95 at the 1 percent level of significance, confirms that the selected stochastic frontier gravity model framework (Equation 1) for the present study is statistically valid for the present dataset. It also shows that the variation of India's exports of EGS mostly comes from the inefficiency emanating from

'behind the border' constraints. This implies that India has to eliminate its 'behind the border' constraints by improving its infrastructure and institutions to increase its EGS exports.

4.2 Results of Decomposition of Changes in India's exports of EGS

Changes in India's EGS exports is decomposed for the 12 groups of EGS for the selected 10 Asia-Pacific economies, which are the major trading partners of India for EGS, for 2 periods 1996 – 2000 and 2005 – 2010. Table 3 shows that in most cases, the 'behind the border' constraints, which are under the control of India, have negative effects on India's EGS exports, while the reduction of the 'implicit beyond the border' constraints, which are under the control of India's trading partners, have contributed strongly positively to the EGS export growth. The latter result thus indicates to India that it should take serious reform measures to eliminate its 'behind the border' constraints.

4.2.1. Air pollution control

The EGS of air pollution control was exported to all 10 Asia Pacific countries. However, the EGS exports to China, the Republic of Korea and the US increased rapidly in the first period 1996 – 2000. Vietnam, Indonesia, Thailand and China were the main markets of India's EGS which had impressive growth rates in the second period 2005 – 2010. The reduction in 'behind the border' constraints concerning this EGS group of air pollution control has contributed to the rapid EGS export growth in the first period for China (52 percent), the US (57 percent). However, it became a barrier to prevent India's EGS exports reaching its first period potential levels with respect to China and the US in the second period. The goods and services of air pollution control group exports to Vietnam and Indonesia surged to more than 200 percent and 100 percent respectively and dominated by the decline in the 'behind the border' constraints in the period between 2005 and 2010. In contrast, the EGS exports growth to the Republic of Korea was mainly influenced by the reduction in the 'implicit beyond the border' constraints in the Republic of Korea rather than the reduction in India's 'behind the border' constraints in the second period.

4.2.2. Management of solid and hazardous waste and recycling systems

This group of EGS exports from India to Japan and Thailand grew significantly from 1996 to 2010, while the exports to Indonesia fell by 79 percent from 1996 to 2000 and 54 percent in the next period. In the period from 1996 to 2000, the growth of India's EGS exports to the Asia-Pacific countries was mainly due to the reduction in the 'behind the border' constraints in India. For example, the high rates of export growth in Canada and Japan markets were predominantly due to the reduction in the 'behind the border' constraints in India. Though this trend continued with respect to the Japan market in the next period, it failed to continue in the case of the US market. Although India's EGS exports growth was negatively influenced by the 'behind the border' constraints with respect to its Asia-Pacific trading partners except Japan and Thailand in the second period, the reduction in the 'implicit beyond the border' constraints in the importing countries contributed substantially positively to India's EGS export growth to Malaysia, Vietnam, China and the Republic of Korea. As a consequence, the growth of India's EGS exports to these four economies increased during the period from 2005 to 2010.

4.2.3. Clean up or remediation of soil and water

India exported this EGS group mainly to 6 countries, Australia, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Thailand and the US. From 1996 to 2000, India's EGS export growth was positively driven by the reduction in the 'behind the border' constraints with respect to all the above countries except Japan and Malaysia. Nevertheless, Japan's EGS imports from India increased due to the contribution of the changes in Japan's 'implicit and explicit beyond the border' constraints. However, in the second period, due to India's high 'behind the border' constraints, India's EGS exports to Japan declined by 115 percent, even though Japan's reduction in the 'implicit and explicit beyond the border' constraints was large. Thus, it is alarming that due to its 'behind the border' constraints, India incurred a huge loss with respect to the Japanese market.

4.2.4. Renewable energy plant

The EGS of renewable energy plant group has played an important role in India's EGS exports (about USD 2 billion in 2010) in recent

years. The value of India's EGS exports to the Asia-Pacific economies increased from 1996 to 2010, excluding the EGS exports to Indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand for which the negative influence of the 'behind the border' constraints was strong. Australia, Japan and Vietnam were 3 main rapidly growing markets for India's renewable energy plant group of EGS. The 'behind the border' constraints were the main factor contributing to the reduction in EGS export growth. On the other hand, the reduction in 'implicit beyond the border' constraints or improvement in trade facilitation steps taken by partner countries were a major factor contributed to India's EGS export growth in the period between 2005 and 2010. This is because there were several FTAs signed by India during this time, such as the trade agreement with India and ASEAN to promote imports and exports between ASEAN economies and India.

4.2.5. Heat and energy management

India's exports of EGS of heat and energy management group to the Asia-Pacific countries excluding Australia and Malaysia decreased during 1996-2000. It is interesting to note that the contribution of the reduction in India's infrastructure and institutional rigidities towards the Australian market led to surging growth of India's EGS exports (88 percent) during the period. However, this contribution reduced to 55 percent during the second period. It is alarming that the negative impact of India's 'behind the border' constraints on its exports to Japan was large during the first period, which increased further in the second period, though in the case of Indonesia the negative impact remained at the same level during both periods. There were larger reductions in the 'implicit beyond the border' constraints in India's Asia-Pacific trading partner countries from the first period to the second period. However, due to India's 'behind the border' constraints, it could not reap the full gain from the partner countries' 'implicit beyond the border' reductions.

4.2.6. Waste water management and potable water treatment

This group of EGS grew differently over time. During the period 1996 – 2000, India's exports of this EGS group were relatively small and highly impacted by the 'behind the border' constraints. However, in the next period, the larger reductions of the 'implicit beyond the border' constraints in Asia-Pacific partner countries led to significant positive gains for

India with respect to the waste water management and potable water treatment exports. It is worth noting that India's export gain could be more, had it removed its 'behind the border' constraints concerning this group of exports considerably.

4.2.7. Environmentally preferable products, based on end use or disposal characteristics

India's exports of these EGS were substantially negatively influenced by the export inefficiency arising from the 'behind the border' constraints in both periods. On the other hand, the large reductions in the 'implicit beyond the border' constraints in India's partner countries arising from either bilateral / multilateral negotiations or trade facilitation measures taken by them in both periods. In contrast, the contribution of the reduction in 'explicit beyond the border' constraints to India's export growth of this EGS group was relatively small during 1996–2010.

4.2.8. Cleaner or more resource efficient technologies and products

India's exports of this EGS group did not show a strong growth trend during the period 1996, 2010. The 'behind the border' constraints emanating from the infrastructure and institution rigidities of India were the main factors impeding the export growth of this group of EGS, particularly during 2005-2010. An example of this is India's export growth of this EGS to Japan. Though reduction in Japan's 'implicit beyond the border' constraints led to 66 percent increase in the imports of India's EGS of this group from 2005 to 2010, the negative contribution of India's 'behind the border' constraints and 'explicit beyond the border' constraints, which could be the exchange rate influence, resulted in overall negative exports growth (-98 percent).

4.2.9. Natural risk management and 10. Natural resources protections

These 2 groups of India's EGS were mostly affected by India's 'behind the border' constraints despite the larger reductions in 'implicit beyond the border' constraints arising due to the increasing importance of the multilateral/bilateral negotiations or trade facilitation taken by India's partner countries. Canada increasingly imported the EGS belonging to natural risk management group from 122 percent in the first period (1996 – 2000) to 159 percent in the next period (2005-

2010). In contrast, India's EGS exports of natural resource protection group have been declining over time due to the 'behind the border' constraints mainly. For example, these constraints reduced 121 percent of Japan's imports from India during 1996 – 2000 and reduced 286 percent in the next period.

4.2.10. Noise and vibration abatement

Like other groups of India's EGS, the export growth of this EGS group was predominantly affected by the institutional and infrastructure rigidities in India and was influenced by the positive contribution of reduction in the 'implicit beyond the border' constraints by India's trading partners during 1996 – 2010. The major markets of this EGS group during the periods (1996 – 2000) and (2005 – 2010) were the East Asian countries such as China (grew 178 percent and 66 percent respectively), the Republic of Korea (grew 102 percent and 126 percent respectively) and Japan (grew 88 percent and 12 percent respectively). It is interesting to observe that though the export of this EGS group to Indonesia was low during 1996, 2000, India's exports to Indonesia increased by 111 percent in the next period mainly due to the reductions in India's 'behind the border' constraints, and in Indonesia's 'implicit and explicit beyond the border' constraints.

4.2.11. Environmental monitoring, analysis and assessment equipment

As observed with respect to other groups of EGS exports, the effect of the 'behind the border' constraints was significant for India's export growth of this EGS group of environmental monitoring, analysis and assessment equipment during the period 1996–2000. However, the reductions in the 'implicit beyond the border' constraints dominated and positively contributed to India's export growth of this group of EGS in the next period. However, though the reduction in Malaysia's 'implicit and explicit beyond the border' constraints caused about 40 percent increase in India's exports of EGS of this group to Malaysia, India's institutional and infrastructure rigidities reduced Malaysia's imports by 107 percent. Consequently, India's exports of EGS of environmental monitoring, analysis and assessment equipment group to Malaysia declined during the period 2005 – 2010.

5.0 Conclusions

EGS can bring more benefit to the Indian economy in terms of not only increasing its national income, but also of improving its environmental conditions at the national level. A stochastic frontier gravity model is used to identify the sources of changes in India's EGS exports over time. Empirical identification is done by analysing India's EGS exports to its top ten export markets of the Asia-Pacific economies, using the WTO 153 list classified into 12 groups. The analysis was done for 4 years 1996, 2000, 2005 and 2010. The results show that the contributions of income of partner countries and the distance between India and its trading partners appear to be less important over time. While exchange rate and tariffs negatively influenced the exports of India's EGS, trade agreement positively contributed to the exports during the study period.

Next, the changes in India's exports of EGS was decomposed into different components, such as growth due to reductions in the 'behind the border', 'implicit beyond the border' and 'explicit beyond the border' constraints along with 'natural determinants' and 'mutually induced policy determinants'. The results show that the institutional and infrastructure rigidities of India, which are the main causes for the emergence of the 'behind the border' constraints, exert dominant negative effects on its exports of EGS. Nevertheless, the negative effects were not significantly large for the EGS exports group of renewable energy plant. The reduction in India's trading partners 'implicit beyond the border' constraints has made significant contribution to India's exports of EGS, especially in recent periods between 2005 and 2010. The export growth changes due to 'explicit beyond the border' constraints are

relatively small. Nevertheless, these results indicate to India that it should eliminate its 'behind the border' constraints.

Therefore, in terms of policy suggestion to promote exports of EGS, India needs to strengthen its policies to remove the 'behind the border' constraints to improve its infrastructure and institutional framework, which are central to India's exports. Though due to lack of uniform data, we could not identify what are the 'behind the border' constraints, some evidence-based conjectures can be made. For example, India can improve the performances of its exporting firms by disseminating knowledge and laws related to EGS of the importing countries. Also, port facilities can be improved for efficient functioning and bureaucratic delays in dispatching EGS can be avoided. Furthermore, India should enhance the trade agreements and multilateral/bilateral negotiations effectively to reduce the negative impact of its trading partner countries 'implicit beyond the border' constraints on India's EGS exports.


A limitation of this study concerns the following. The impact of the changes in the 'implicit beyond the border' constraints to India's exports of EGS is measured by the vertical distance between the frontier in period 1 and the frontier in the period 2, evaluated for the same level of determinants of exports for period 1. This impact may also include the changes in the price of EGS which lead to changes in the value of $Y1^{**}$. Due to lack of the proper data availability, this study does not separate the effects of the changes in prices from the impact of the 'implicit beyond the border' constraints.

References:

- Agarwal, S (2011), 'Notes on environmental issues, ecological preservation, conservation of natural resources in India', viewed 4th August 2012, <<http://www.preservearticles.com/201105056294/environmental-issues-ecological-preservation-conservation-of-natural-resources-in-india.html>>.
- Armstrong, S (2007), 'Measuring trade and trade potential: a survey', Asia Pacific Economic Paper, no. 368.
- Armstrong, S, Drysdale, P. and Kalirajan, K. 2008, 'Asian trade structures and trade potential: An initial analysis of South and East Asian trade', EABER Working Paper Series, no. 32.
- Anderson, JE (1979), 'A theoretical foundation for the gravity equation', American Economic Review, vol.69, pp. 106-116.
- Anderson, JE & Wincoop, EV (2003), 'Gravity with gravitas: a solution to the border puzzle', American Economic Review, vol. 93, no.1, pp.170-192.
- Bayoumi, T & Eichengreen, B (1997), 'Is regionalism simply a diversion? Evidence from the evolution of the EC and EFTA', Regionalism vs. Multilateral Arrangements, NBER-EASE, vol. 6, pp. 141-168.


- Bergstrand, JH (1989), 'The generalised gravity equation, monopolistic competition, and the factor-proportions theory in international trade', *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, vol. 71, pp. 143-153.
- Coelli, TJ (1996), 'A guide to FRONTIER version 4.1: A computer program for stochastic frontier production and cost function estimation', Centre for Efficiency and Productivity Analysis Working Paper, No. 7/96, Department of Econometrics, University of New England, Armidale, Australia.
- Deardorff, AV (1995), 'Determinants of bilateral trade: does gravity work in a neoclassical world?', NBER Working Papers, No. 5377, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform (BERR), (2009), 'Low carbon and environmental goods and services: an industry analyses, United Kingdom.
- Egger, P & Badinger, H (2008), 'Estimation of higher-order spatial autoregressive panel data error component models', CESifo Working Paper, no. 2556.
- Feenstra, RC (2002), 'Border effects and the gravity equation: Consistent methods for estimation', *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 49, no. 5.
- Gaba, KM & Cormier, CJ & Rogers, JA (2011), 'Energy intensive sectors of the Indian economy: path to low carbon development', World Bank report, no. 54607-IN.
- Hamwey, R (2003), 'Liberalisation of International Trade in Environmental Goods and Services', Sub-Regional Brainstorming Workshop on Trade and Environment Issues Contained in Paragraph 31 and 32 of the WTO Doha Ministerial Declaration – Project on Building Capacity for Improved Policy Making and Negotiation on Key Trade and Environment Issues, Bangkok, 30 July – 1 August 2003, UNCTAD, ITD & FIELD.
- Harris, M & Mátyás, L (1998), 'The econometrics of gravity models', Working Paper, Melbourne Institute, no. WP5.
- India Free Trade Agreements, viewed 4th August (2012), <http://commerce.nic.in/trade/international_ta.asp>.
- Kalirajan, K (2007), 'Regional cooperation and bilateral trade flows: an empirical measurement of resistance', *The International Trade Journal*, 21(2): 85-107.
- Kalirajan, K (2008), 'Gravity model specification and estimation: revisited', *Applied Economics Letters*, vol. 15, pp. 1037-1039.
- Kalirajan, K (2012), 'Regional cooperation towards green Asia: Trade in low carbon goods and services', ADBI Working Paper, no. 350, Asian Development Bank Institute, viewed 27th August 2012, <<http://www.adbi.org/working-paper/2012/04/10/5043.regional.cooperation.green.asia/>>.
- Katti, V (2005), 'Environmental goods and services: issues for negotiation for India', viewed 27th August 2012, <www.ias.unu.edu/binaries2/EGS_India.doc>.
- Khan, UI and Kalirajan, K (2011), 'The impact of trade costs on exports: an empirical modelling', *Economic Modeling*, vol. 28, no.3, pp. 1341-1347.
- Krugman, P and Obstfeld, M (2008), 'International Economics: Theory and Policy' 8th Edition. Sydney: Addison Wesley.
- McCallum, J (1995), 'National borders matter: Canada-U.S. regional trade patterns', *American Economic Review*, vol. 85, no.3, pp. 615-623.
- Monkelbaan, J (2011), 'Trade preferences for environmentally friendly goods and services', International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development, viewed 27th August 2012, <<http://ictsd.org/downloads/2012/01/trade-preferences-for-environmentally-friendly-goods-and-services.pdf>>.
- Sharma, K (2003), 'Factors determining India's export performance', *Journal of Asian Economics*, no.14, pp. 435-446.
- Tinbergen, J (1962), 'Shaping the world economy: suggestions for an international economic policy', The Twentieth Century Fund, New York.
- World Bank data, (2012), 'Economic policy & external debt', viewed 27th August 2012, <<http://data.worldbank.org/indicator>>.

Figure 1 Contribution of EGS in total export of India (percent)



Source : Authors' calculation.

Figure 2 Export growth decomposition



Source:Khan and Kalirajan (2011).

Table 1 India's Exports of EGS to the World (1000USD)

Product description	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Air pollution control	214,623	437,949	540,241	724,312	626,656	1,033,679
Management of solid and hazardous waste and recycling systems	423,145	466,624	604,740	681,157	587,238	546,804
Clean up or remediation of soil and water	17,514	25,529	64,292	64,099	90,333	69,379
Renewable energy plant	608,770	1,172,015	1,551,932	2,627,162	2,071,148	2,210,387
Heat and energy management	37,862	41,158	72,490	101,267	207,895	195,493
Waste water management and potable water treatment	810,145	1,045,467	1,333,873	1,855,767	1,542,465	1,746,190
Environmentally preferable products, based on end use or disposal characteristics	73,641	75,547	71,444	93,548	63,886	116,729
Cleaner or more resource efficient technologies and products	13,520	9,075	7,826	13,001	18,564	36,918
Natural risk management	17,508	31,711	34,224	41,729	82,670	30,817
Natural resources protection	18,403	20,553	10,424	14,378	21,906	29,685
Noise and vibration abatement	368,355	472,822	562,707	658,961	469,918	624,469
Environmental monitoring, analysis and assessment equipment	99,006	102,801	156,070	233,237	295,494	330,205

Source: Authors' calculation.

Table 2 Overview of India's Exports EGS to Asia Pacific Countries**1. Air Pollution Control**

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	3,276	3,681	6,411	8,146	4,461	5,214
Canada	923	707	1,298	1,113	1,040	2,105
China	10,233	88,065	16,946	26,416	94,810	37,451
Indonesia	1,223	2,433	3,852	4,703	7,811	12,463
Japan	1,709	2,674	2,750	4,258	5,913	3,575
Korea, Rep.	6,495	4,823	4,230	7,459	12,472	11,367
Malaysia	2,967	3,500	28,660	16,259	6,187	6,916
Thailand	7,009	6,917	20,484	28,963	14,999	51,373
United States	34,244	61,657	80,491	75,123	64,881	66,255
Vietnam	230	436	8,429	1,484	2,161	42,812

2. Management of Solid and Hazardous Waste and Recycling Systems

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	6,135	3,015	5,097	7,658	5,787	8,732
Canada	3,681	4,806	3,347	3,624	2,764	2,705
China	6,783	7,649	14,762	8,687	13,831	11,882
Indonesia	42,766	6,789	5,120	11,432	12,418	12,291
Japan	1,863	3,346	2,019	6,450	2,730	2,014
Korea, Rep.	1,646	2,182	12,963	4,479	3,168	5,696
Malaysia	10,331	10,293	9,462	18,810	9,609	12,022
Thailand	5,184	11,682	7,984	8,633	13,656	34,081
United States	45,431	47,018	41,065	50,000	66,033	33,787
Vietnam	2,219	2,085	7,617	6,230	2,464	8,300

3. Clean up or Remediation of Soil and Water

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	462	344	169	1,437	658	508
Canada	335	585	779	735	402	285
China	234	155	22,219	7,196	9,420	9,906
Indonesia	307	165	502	2,122	949	1,071
Japan	144	399	2,347	637	973	507
Korea, Rep.	67	91	494	248	1,047	1,391
Malaysia	263	191	528	2,062	7,488	8,157
Thailand	196	628	1,540	236	1,541	466
United States	1,189	1,059	2,009	3,606	9,253	1,911
Vietnam	4	261	2,098	631	540	485

4. Renewable Energy Plant

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	6,110	12,279	87,535	137,676	111,623	88,614
Canada	2,824	9,427	18,692	21,217	10,854	11,686
China	21,637	27,933	34,848	39,017	48,255	86,734
Indonesia	4,158	5,479	4,453	7,213	10,905	18,090
Japan	7,167	13,972	16,431	15,369	12,756	16,599
Korea, Rep.	1,775	1,843	4,157	18,595	6,914	6,447
Malaysia	3,741	5,710	10,034	10,351	9,541	11,729
Thailand	6,580	5,448	9,557	9,954	12,771	16,499
United States	152,070	480,126	461,439	529,778	446,875	412,722
Vietnam	1,052	2,314	1,150	6,616	9,203	27,482

5. Heat and energy management

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	1,350	1,863	4,489	3,481	18,038	35,219
Canada	3,581	237	307	531	507	286
China	1,471	752	3,332	1,564	64,715	2,614
Indonesia	837	1,270	1,071	911	6,998	338
Japan	50	136	219	144	404	286
Korea, Rep.	351	79	468	139	14,336	2,132
Malaysia	5,560	3,172	4,451	7,486	8,422	4,235
Thailand	428	1,073	3,317	632	1,195	1,422
United States	4,606	4,787	5,744	9,020	10,084	39,111
Vietnam	10	410	33	125	208	1

6. Waste water management and potable water treatment

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	18,032	15,073	20,112	27,090	26,456	31,410
Canada	16,057	20,753	21,052	27,204	16,738	26,292
China	22,210	8,519	16,974	38,203	41,817	51,620
Indonesia	7,839	14,645	12,327	11,351	16,728	25,280
Japan	11,955	8,511	9,585	10,342	8,532	14,258
Korea, Rep.	17,270	20,840	27,217	40,718	24,337	22,934
Malaysia	11,709	19,085	27,026	30,281	33,539	25,350
Thailand	8,643	8,326	12,984	19,143	25,922	30,448
United States	183,314	223,637	251,195	353,475	281,155	303,432
Vietnam	1,694	1,368	9,591	6,352	3,191	7,958

7. Environmentally preferable products, based on end use or disposal characteristics

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	4,422	2,439	1,813	2,550	2,158	3,273
Canada	297	347	463	704	507	497
China	-	-	-	-	-	4,534
Indonesia	29	200	954	56	297	2,207
Japan	1,359	1,324	889	529	261	318
Korea, Rep.	59	137	22	12	59	21
Malaysia	122	14	74	57	15	117
Thailand	35	-	52	838	13,158	2
United States	12,577	11,493	10,312	10,209	5,892	6,991
Vietnam	56	2	5	-	14	1,813

8. Cleaner or More Resource Efficient Technologies and Products

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	106	60	71	35	68	80
Canada	79	62	49	26	48	91
China	12	16	65	22	88	4
Indonesia	54	561	67	4	48	210
Japan	364	7	5	13	28	38
Korea, Rep.	-	3	6	5	4	46
Malaysia	149	140	134	316	169	189
Thailand	55	204	69	135	108	21
United States	3,716	640	446	541	391	464
Vietnam	32	15	-	384	368	1

9. Natural Risk Management

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	41	56	174	398	364	849
Canada	25	256	748	1,106	424	959
China	-	13	18	610	294	424
Indonesia	-	-	-	11	8	140
Japan	-	-	-	35	17	9
Korea, Rep.	-	15	-	0	5	10
Malaysia	-	-	11	128	14	344
Thailand	-	2	1	432	7	66
United States	4,692	7,387	7,778	10,640	22,917	6,065
Vietnam	0	-	-	6	22	-

10. Natural Resources Protection

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	180	324	31	75	326	779
Canada	1,466	1,190	1,541	413	385	1,535
China	-	-	-	1	129	35
Indonesia	33	-	-	0	-	75
Japan	22	24	-	5	57	0
Korea, Rep.	43	-	7	5	127	326
Malaysia	1,619	0	0	-	1	11
Thailand	2	0	-	-	77	47
United States	5,235	7,669	1,952	4,072	12,555	10,208
Vietnam	-	-	-	19	12	24

11. Noise and vibration abatement

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	2,523	1,863	1,976	2,864	2,761	4,447
Canada	2,731	4,665	2,491	939	1,533	2,247
China	3,350	5,104	4,541	5,814	10,503	15,459
Indonesia	928	719	608	1,542	6,763	11,911
Japan	6,943	8,952	9,487	7,461	4,129	9,141
Korea, Rep.	1,705	30,870	42,428	35,754	26,015	31,363
Malaysia	2,152	2,211	1,197	3,066	3,700	2,627
Thailand	3,444	3,422	1,614	2,632	1,943	3,776
United States	125,421	157,509	173,462	193,309	144,836	177,751
Vietnam	581	632	649	1,377	834	537

12. Environmental monitoring, analysis and assessment equipment

Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Australia	1,126	896	1,278	2,480	3,192	4,559
Canada	631	1,300	1,530	1,917	1,920	3,428
China	5,041	4,399	6,181	5,086	7,773	7,986
Indonesia	242	332	952	1,594	7,175	1,935
Japan	3,021	2,110	2,640	10,001	3,918	8,428
Korea, Rep.	789	292	3,621	4,367	2,666	2,914
Malaysia	1,036	1,701	1,538	4,161	2,106	4,077
Thailand	664	922	1,522	2,593	2,650	4,240
United States	27,550	30,191	53,248	52,011	79,934	118,107
Vietnam	114	237	258	334	774	1,060

Source: Authors' calculation.

Table 3 Maximum Likelihood Estimates of the Stochastic Frontier Gravity model

Category	1996	2000	2005	2010
Constant	4.2863***	2.7020***	3.2250***	3.4197***
Log of GDP	0.1718**	0.2203***	0.0260	0.1551 [^]
Log of Population	0.1840 [*]	0.2881***	0.4380***	0.2399 [*]
Log of Distance	-0.7985***	-0.5621***	-0.3039 [*]	-0.2875
Log of Exchange rate	-0.0986***	-0.0929**	-0.1662***	-0.0853 [*]
Tariff	0.0011	-0.0200**	-0.0625***	-0.0293 [*]
Trade agreement	0.7611***	0.1252	0.1186 [^]	-0.0614
Gamma	0.9511***	0.9465***	0.8999***	0.9347***

Note: ***, **, *, [^] show the significant level at 1%, 5%, 10% and 15%.

Source: Authors' calculation.

Table 4 India's export of EGS decomposition

1. Air pollution control

Country	1996 - 2000				2005-2010			
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Australia	-0.0986	0.1134	0.0012	0.0160	-0.4328	0.5741	0.0604	0.2017
Canada	-0.3391	0.2411	0.0413	-0.0567	-0.2270	0.5475	0.0373	0.3578
China	0.5298	0.1291	0.0369	0.6958	-0.0991	0.4249	0.2377	0.5635
Indonesia	-0.1360	0.1556	-0.0973	-0.0777	0.4334	0.4830	0.0920	1.0084
Japan	-0.0625	0.3195	0.0020	0.2589	-0.3329	0.6571	-0.0410	0.2832
Korea, Rep.	0.2542	0.2061	-0.0140	0.4463	-0.4182	0.7395	-0.0782	0.2431
Malaysia	-0.0375	0.0452	-0.1084	-0.1007	-0.0687	0.4150	0.0213	0.3676
Thailand	-0.0388	0.0443	0.0749	0.0804	0.4547	0.3580	0.0524	0.8651
United States	0.5681	-0.1984	-0.0959	0.2738	-0.2154	0.4852	0.0169	0.2866
Vietnam	0.2124	-0.0087	0.0198	0.2235	1.7346	0.5446	-0.0097	2.2695

2. Management of solid and hazardous waste and recycling systems

Country	1996 - 2000				2005-2010			
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Australia	0.0696	0.1163	-0.0034	0.1825	-0.4693	0.5677	0.0548	0.1533
Canada	0.4670	0.2267	0.0541	0.7478	-0.7145	0.5462	0.0346	-0.1337
China	0.5036	0.1291	0.0369	0.6696	-0.4689	0.4471	0.2653	0.2435
Indonesia	-0.8724	-0.0207	0.0992	-0.7939	-1.1327	0.4850	0.1062	-0.5415
Japan	0.4128	0.3195	0.0020	0.7343	0.5301	0.6571	-0.0410	1.1463
Korea, Rep.	-0.3657	0.2096	-0.0140	-0.1701	-0.1418	0.7341	-0.0530	0.5393
Malaysia	0.0755	0.0599	-0.0535	0.0819	-0.3191	0.3776	0.0073	0.0658
Thailand	0.0997	0.0428	0.0749	0.2174	0.4441	0.3214	0.0524	0.8179
United States	0.6277	-0.1986	-0.0957	0.3334	-0.6263	0.4829	0.0148	-0.1286
Vietnam	-0.5547	-0.1846	0.0198	-0.7195	-0.3201	0.6842	0.2088	0.5730

3. Clean up or remediation of soil and water

Country	1996 - 2000				2005-2010			
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Australia	1.2701	0.1977	-0.0034	1.4644	-0.5632	0.5559	0.0490	0.0417
Indonesia	0.5098	0.0448	-0.0756	0.4790	-0.2663	0.5937	0.2155	0.5428
Japan	-0.1792	0.3195	0.0020	0.1422	-1.7663	0.6571	-0.0410	-1.1502
Malaysia	-0.3528	0.0551	-0.0864	-0.3841	0.9792	0.4606	0.0515	1.4913
Thailand	0.0996	0.0279	0.0749	0.2024	-0.0675	0.3918	0.0524	0.3767
United States	0.8617	-0.1983	-0.0960	0.5674	-0.2910	0.4826	0.0146	0.2061

4. Renewable energy plant

Country	1996 - 2000				2005-2010			
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Australia	0.6200	0.0840	0.0048	0.7089	0.5322	0.5700	0.0591	1.1614
Canada	0.2741	0.2135	0.0664	0.5539	0.0341	0.5471	0.0357	0.6168
China	0.1242	0.1291	0.0369	0.2902	0.1908	0.2845	0.1277	0.6030
Indonesia	-0.2024	0.0401	0.0366	-0.1258	0.1115	0.4666	0.0604	0.6386
Japan	0.2146	0.3195	0.0020	0.5361	1.9083	0.6571	-0.0410	2.5244
Korea, Rep.	0.3558	0.2162	-0.0140	0.5579	-0.0925	0.7238	-0.0710	0.5603
Malaysia	-0.7729	0.0406	-0.0908	-0.8231	0.1093	0.4135	-0.0265	0.4963
Thailand	-0.1702	0.0245	0.0749	-0.0708	-0.0246	0.3714	0.0524	0.3992
United States	0.5106	-0.1996	-0.0952	0.2159	-0.0656	0.4839	0.0153	0.4336
Vietnam	2.1367	-0.1142	0.0198	2.0422	0.8325	0.5952	-0.0106	1.4171

5. Heat and energy management

Country	2005-2010							
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Australia	0.4999	0.0627	0.0086	0.5712	0.7849	0.5709	0.0606	1.4163
Indonesia	-0.8359	0.0250	0.0932	-0.7177	-0.8718	0.4343	0.0443	-0.3933
Japan	-0.3406	0.3195	0.0020	-0.0192	-2.2374	0.6571	-0.0410	-1.6212
Malaysia	0.1205	0.0551	-0.0239	0.1516	-0.4402	0.3500	-0.0281	-0.1183
Thailand	-0.2985	0.0148	0.0749	-0.2088	0.1605	0.3088	0.0524	0.5217
United States	0.0257	-0.2003	-0.0941	-0.2687	0.4319	0.4826	0.0146	0.9290

6. Waste water management and potable water treatment

Country	1996 - 2000				2005-2010			
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Australia	-0.0395	0.0886	-0.0034	0.0457	-0.3969	0.5762	0.0617	0.2410
Canada	-0.2559	0.2232	0.0536	0.0209	-0.3764	0.5528	0.0378	0.2142
China	-0.4809	0.1291	0.0369	-0.3149	-0.0567	0.2845	0.1385	0.3663
Indonesia	-0.0161	-0.0165	0.0605	0.0279	0.0003	0.4644	0.0438	0.5085
Korea, Rep.	-0.2987	0.1729	-0.0140	-0.1398	-0.6109	0.7919	-0.0579	0.1232
Malaysia	0.3369	0.0295	-0.0548	0.3116	0.0177	0.3862	-0.0684	0.3355
Thailand	0.0677	0.0040	0.0749	0.1466	0.1111	0.3834	0.0524	0.5469
United States	0.5975	-0.2069	-0.0956	0.2950	-0.2864	0.4879	0.0174	0.2189
Vietnam	0.1084	-0.1120	0.0198	0.0162	0.0233	0.6146	0.0339	0.6718

7. Environmentally preferable products, based on end use or disposal characteristics

Country	1996 – 2000				2005-2010			
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Australia	-0.3155	-0.0133	0.0966	-0.2322	-0.7683	0.5766	0.0610	-0.1307
Canada	-0.7445	0.2679	0.0136	-0.4630	-0.3819	0.5583	0.0468	0.2233
Indonesia	-0.8673	0.1180	0.0051	-0.7442	1.4239	0.4277	0.0360	1.8876
Japan	-0.3250	0.3195	0.0020	-0.0035	-1.2475	0.6571	-0.0410	-0.6313
Korea, Rep.	-0.2799	0.1810	-0.0140	-0.1129	-1.1747	0.7791	-0.0534	-0.4491
Malaysia	-0.3834	0.0727	-0.0531	-0.3639	-0.4611	0.3776	0.0661	-0.0174
United States	0.3618	-0.1983	-0.0960	0.0675	-0.7522	0.4826	0.0146	-0.2551

8. Cleaner or more resource efficient technologies and products

Country	1996 – 2000				2005-2010			
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Australia	-0.4204	0.1977	-0.0034	-0.2260	-0.7289	0.5559	0.0490	-0.1240
Canada	-1.5337	0.1717	0.0979	-1.2641	-0.5328	0.5551	0.0378	0.0601
Japan	0.7665	0.3195	0.0020	1.0879	-1.5980	0.6571	-0.0410	-0.9818
Malaysia	0.8767	-0.0201	-0.0302	0.8264	-0.3821	0.4495	0.0358	0.1032
Thailand	-2.5157	-0.0732	0.0749	-2.5140	-0.9482	0.4748	0.0524	-0.4210
United States	0.3674	-0.2006	-0.0938	0.0730	-1.4022	0.4836	0.0155	-0.9032

9. Natural risk management

Country	1996 – 2000				2005-2010			
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Canada	0.9420	0.2498	0.0297	1.2215	1.0047	0.5517	0.0335	1.5898
Malaysia	-0.2204	0.0111	-0.0114	-0.2207	-1.3512	0.4053	0.1491	-0.7968
United States	0.8494	-0.2004	-0.0940	0.5550	-0.3856	0.4826	0.0146	0.1115

10. Natural resources protection

Country	1996 – 2000				2005-2010			
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Canada	1.3270	0.2679	-0.0075	1.5874	-0.5834	0.5665	0.0368	0.0199
Japan	-1.2191	0.3195	0.0020	-0.8977	-2.8557	0.6571	-0.0410	-2.2396
Malaysia	-0.0441	0.0182	-0.0314	-0.0574	-2.6148	0.4407	0.1804	-1.9937
United States	0.8078	-0.2466	-0.0974	0.4638	-0.2368	0.5045	0.0223	0.2900

11. Noise and vibration abatement

Country	1996 – 2000				2005-2010			
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Australia	-0.1291	0.1309	-0.0034	-0.0016	-0.4637	0.6610	0.0490	0.2462
Canada	-0.5442	0.2547	0.0270	-0.2624	-0.6778	0.5520	0.0412	-0.0846
China	1.5521	0.1291	0.0369	1.7182	-0.0229	0.4249	0.2622	0.6642
Indonesia	-0.5325	0.0791	0.0919	-0.3614	0.6276	0.4277	0.0530	1.1082
Japan	0.5634	0.3195	0.0020	0.8849	-0.4967	0.6571	-0.0410	0.1194
Korea, Rep.	0.8154	0.2162	-0.0140	1.0176	0.6150	0.7238	-0.0742	1.2646
Malaysia	0.5342	0.2033	-0.1622	0.5752	-0.5391	0.3677	0.0605	-0.1110
Thailand	-0.6549	0.0148	0.0749	-0.5653	-0.4042	0.3918	0.0524	0.0400
United States	0.5194	-0.2015	-0.0949	0.2229	-0.3490	0.4852	0.0152	0.1514
Vietnam	0.2030	-0.0627	0.0198	0.1601	-0.6824	0.6642	-0.0158	-0.0340

12. Environmental monitoring, analysis and assessment equipment

Country	1996 – 2000				2005-2010			
	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth	Behind BD	Implicit beyond BD	Explicit beyond BD	Export growth
Australia	0.4045	0.1146	-0.0016	0.5175	-0.0269	0.5714	0.0627	0.6073
Canada	-0.3484	0.2434	0.0403	-0.0647	0.1574	0.5437	0.0339	0.7350
China	0.1314	0.1291	0.0369	0.2974	-0.4980	0.4249	0.2729	0.1998
Indonesia	-0.4953	0.1347	-0.0108	-0.3714	0.4243	0.4291	0.0502	0.9036
Japan	-0.1905	0.3195	0.0020	0.1310	-0.1705	0.6571	-0.0410	0.4456
Korea, Rep.	-0.1912	0.2155	-0.0140	0.0102	-0.1066	0.7249	-0.0510	0.5673
Malaysia	0.0666	0.2291	-0.0250	0.2708	-1.0743	0.2756	0.1399	-0.6588
Thailand	-0.1330	0.0148	0.0749	-0.0434	0.4319	0.3207	0.0524	0.8049
United States	0.6050	-0.1994	-0.0949	0.3107	0.1350	0.4826	0.0146	0.6322
Vietnam	0.5934	-0.2901	0.0198	0.3231	0.2733	0.7672	-0.0705	0.9701

Source: Authors' calculation.
