



ISSN : 3048-5320 (Online)

CSIBER International Journal - CIJ

Vol. 3, Issue 4, December, 2025

MULTIDISCIPLINARY
JOURNAL



MAKE IN INDIA

Published by : CSIBER Press, Central Library
Building, CSIBER Campus, University
Road, Kolhapur-416004, Maharashtra, India.

Find the Journal Online at
<https://www.siberindia.edu.in/journals>
E-mail : cij@siberindia.edu.in

FOUNDER PATRON

Late Dr. A. D. Shinde

Chhatrapati Shahu Institute of Business Education and Research Trust was established in 1976 to provide professional education to the youth of rural western Maharashtra and North Karnataka. It was founded by a well-known educationist, the former Dean of Shivaji University, Kolhapur and a renowned Chartered Accountant, Late Dr. A. D. Shinde Sir.

PATRON

Dr. R. A. Shinde

Managing Trustee, CSIBER Trust, Kolhapur, India

C. A. H. R. Shinde

Trustee, CSIBER Trust, Kolhapur, India

CHIEF EDITOR

Dr. Bindu Nandkumar Menon

bindumenon@siberindia.edu.in

Associate Professor, CSIBER, Kolhapur, India

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

Prof. T. Mangaleswaran

mangales@vac.ac.lk

Vice Chancellor, University of Vavuniya, Sri Lanka

Dr. Dinesh Kumar Hurreeram

directorgeneral@utm.ac.in

Director General, University of Technology, Mauritius

Dr. Varsha Rayanade

vnrayanade@siberindia.edu.in

CSIBER, Kolhapur, India

Er. D. S. Mali

malids@siberindia.edu.in

CSIBER, Kolhapur, India

Dr. Samir Gopalan

samirgopalan.mgmt@silveroakuni.ac.in

Dean of Colleges, Silver Oak University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

Prof. Dr. Hemant B. Chitto

hchitto@utm.ac.ma

University of Technology, Mauritius

Dr. Mohamoud Yusuf Muse

president@uoh.edu.so

President, University of Hargeisa, Somaliland, Africa

Dr. Terefe Zeleke

terefe.zeleke@ecs.edu.et

Deputy C. E. O., Ethiopian Management Institute, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, Africa

SUPERINTENDENT

Dr. Mrudula K. Patkar

CSIBER, Kolhapur, India

CSIBER International Journal (CIJ)

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	Name of the Title	Page No.
1	Assessing Millets Consumption Behaviour among Youth of Delhi Urban: A Survey based Study <i>Shalini Gupta</i> National Forensic Science University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat <i>Rohit Kumar</i> Rashtriya Raksha University, Lucknow campus, Lucknow	01-07
2	Digital Marketing and It's Impact: Conceptual Framework <i>Ms. Jayashri Sadanand Lokhande</i> Research Scholar Department of Commerce and Management, Shivaji University, Kolhapur	08-11
3	Emotional intelligence and work- life balance among the faculty members of Higher Education Institution of Jammu and Kashmir, UT <i>Aabid Yousuf</i> Research Scholar, Gulzarpora Awantipora <i>Dr. Aasim Mir</i> <i>Dr. Gaurav Seghal</i>	12-15
4	Illuminating the Untapped Insights: A Systematic Literature Review of Employee Cynicism in the Workplace <i>Sneha P.</i> Research Scholar, Research and PG Department of Commerce, MES Keveeyam College Valanchery, Malappuram (D.T), Kerala, India	16-28
5	The Dynamics Of Employee Engagement: Investigating Its Influence On Job Satisfaction In The Workplace. <i>Vidhya S</i> Teaching fellow, MBA, IFMR GSB-KREA University <i>Vaneeta Aggarwal</i> Assistant professor, University of Madras	29-36
6	Effect Of Metacognition Mastery Program On The Creative Thinking Skills Of Primary School Students <i>Zeenath P. Y.</i> Research Scholar, Farook Training College, Research Centre in Education, University of Calicut. <i>Dr. Anees Mohammed C.</i> Associate Professor, Farook Training College, Research Centre in Education, University of Calicut.	37-42
7	Assessment of the Attributes of Good Leadership Practice of Middle-Level Leaders in Government Organizations. The Case of Some Selected Bureaus of Amhara National Regional State. <i>Chuchu Alebachew</i> (Corresponding Author) Amhara Leadership Academy, Ethiopia <i>Assabie Mihretie Kassa</i> Amhara Leadership Academy, Ethiopia <i>Muhabaw Takele</i> Amhara Leadership Academy, Ethiopia	43-56
8	Towards a Secure Digital Governance in India: Assessing Cyber security Initiatives and Strategy therefore <i>Prof. (Dr.) Shyam T. Shirsath</i> Department of Public Administration, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar, Maharashtra, India <i>Mr. Swapnil S. Kumare</i> Department of Public Administration, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar, Maharashtra, India	57-64
9	Impact Of Online Business On Retail Business And Analytical Studies <i>Pranit Prashant Khanderao</i> Department of Business Administration and Research, Shri Sant Gajanan Maharaj College of Engineering, Shegaon.	65-71

Sr. No.	Name of the Title	Page No.
10	<p>The Digital Divide, Gender and Education – Challenges for e-Governance among the Tribes of Odisha Mr. Nirajan Sahu Faculty in Political Science, Govt. Degree College, Tentulikhunti, Odisha Dr. Gugulothu Srinu Asst Professor, Dept. of PA&PS, Central University of Kerala Periyar, Kasaragod</p>	72-82
11	<p>Examining the Impact of Artificial Intelligence Technologies on Faculty Development: A Comprehensive Analysis for Educators and Scholars Ms. Vijayashri Machindra Gurme Research Scholar Sydenham Institute of Management Studies and Research and Entrepreneurship Education, University of Mumbai, India</p>	83-92

The Dynamics of Employee Engagement: Investigating Its Influence on Job Satisfaction in the Workplace

Vidhya S
Teaching fellow, MBA, IFMR GSB-KREA
UNIVERSITY, India

Vaneeta Aggarwal
Corresponding Author
Assistant Professor, University of Madras, India

Abstract

This paper examines the complex interdependency between job satisfaction and employee engagement, and how these factors work together to positively impact organizational performance. Since most of a person's life is spent working, the level of motivation and interest that employees achieve becomes an overarching determinant of productivity, satisfaction, and overall wellness. In the highly competitive and dynamic business world of today, organizations need to acknowledge and foster the correlation between employee engagement and job satisfaction in a bid to sustain a motivated labor force and long-term success.

Employee involvement is the degree to which employees are actively encouraged to take part in decision-making, contribute their ideas, and claim ownership of their responsibilities and roles. When workers feel appreciated and part of the group, they are more likely to be emotionally and mentally engaged in their job. This increased level of engagement boosts job satisfaction, which is a central psychological state that indicates how satisfied people are with their jobs, roles, and work settings. Job satisfaction, in turn, has an important role in shaping employee behavior, lowering turnover intentions, and building organizational loyalty. There is increasing research evidence that reinforces the idea that employee engagement is a bridge between satisfaction and involvement. Engaged workers have increased levels of energy, are more attached to organizational objectives, and have higher levels of performance on a consistent basis. This article brings together multiple studies and empirical evidence that continue to indicate a strong link between employee engagement and job satisfaction. Evidence indicates that employees who report greater job satisfaction are frequently individuals who feel strongly connected and committed to their organization and work.

In addition, organizations that support a culture of inclusion and engagement not only experience enhanced employee morale but also registered increases in productivity and profitability. The findings of the study emphasize that by building an environment conducive to employee engagement—via open communication, recognition, career development opportunities, and encouraging leadership—organizations can more effectively foster motivated and engaged employees. Such engaged employees become more contributive to organizational goals and create a sustainable source of competitive advantage for the organization in the marketplace. In summary, investment in initiatives that increase employee engagement and participation is necessary to create a strong, high-performing organization. As this essay illustrates, higher employee engagement results in higher job satisfaction, which ultimately translates to better performance, innovation, and organizational growth.

Keywords: Employee Engagement, Job Satisfaction, Attrition, IBM SPSS 20

Introduction

An organization's human capital, or people resources, are essential to its survival. They are one of the production variables that help the company grow. They make a substantial contribution since they are a vital component of the many functional areas of the business. They are working for the company to achieve its goals. Megginson¹ argues that "human resources represent the entirety of the inherent abilities, acquired knowledge, and skills as exemplified in the talents and aptitudes of its employees, whereas, from the viewpoint of the individual enterprise, they are knowledge, skills, creative abilities, talents, and attitudes obtained in the population." Employees are the most valuable resource of a company. Managers of human resources are more concerned with how happy workers form a productive workforce. The desire for work-related organizational environments can be driven by several factors for managers and employees. Managers need content employees because they care about their employees. Results-driven managers seek happy employees since they may work more productively, miss a few days of work, and stay on the job longer. Furthermore, happy employees usually produce higher-quality work than their unhappy counterparts. Studies on workplace humanization indicate that contented employees generate higher output, and contented people lead to more efficient organizations.

Work satisfaction is a significant determinant of attrition. It has significantly greater credit than monetary values. Offering appealing benefits and a stimulating work environment will make employees very happy, which will increase employee turnover and decrease attrition. Job satisfaction is a key element that could reduce attrition. Satisfied employees grow into pillars, and the company grows gradually with them. The assessment indicates that approximately 20.16% of respondents are satisfied with their positions and their jobs. These people are the Brand executives; they have a strong sense of loyalty to their employer. They remain because of

their dedication and perseverance, even when the organization provides competitive pay and performance reviews.

They are the company's constituents and help it achieve its objectives. Companies must make sure they stay that way as part of their mission. The companies must maintain them as such. Employee dissatisfaction percentages hover around 1.52% in every firm. They don't appear to be happy where they are right now. Instead of creating space for advancement, they are always criticizing the business. Since 0.51% of people seem to be dissatisfied, these categories include resignation and position changes. The corporations nevertheless need to give these people a serious assessment, even though their share may have been little in contrast. Review shows that not many people don't care about their jobs. They are not satisfied, but they are also not happy with their work.

Need for the Study

Employee satisfaction, often known as job satisfaction (JS), refers to a person's emotional state regarding their position at work (Warr & Inceoglu, 2012). The outcome of an employee's labour is determined by their level of satisfaction, which is reflected in the profit they generate. Absenteeism and volatility are two more variables that have a strong relationship with satisfaction. These two elements have a direct impact on a company's efficiency and successful growth. research on job happiness was first conducted by psychologists in the early 1920s of the 20th century. One of the earliest studies of this type was conducted in a Western Electric Company unit and demonstrated that working productivity rose independently of changes in the workers' physical perspectives and adverse situations. The purpose of the studies was to identify more effective strategies for influencing worker productivity. Among the initial variables with a rate of variability in an organization are communications regarding the job position, attention to occupational safety, duration of employment, and satisfaction levels

Literature Review

Work satisfaction and employees' engagement are some of the best-researched constructs in both organizational behavior and human resource management, approximately individual employee performance and team dynamics as well as intensive and permanent impact on general organizational success. Those two factors, besides being essential drivers of productivity, also strongly influence workplace culture and long-run stability of every organization. Because organizations quickly realize the value of human capital as a strategic asset, how employee engagement influences job satisfaction it has become the central theme of scholarly research and real-world application.

The connection between employee engagement and job satisfaction has garnered considerable interest because of its multifaceted, intricate nature. Researchers and doctors alike have also discovered that engaged employees, who are emotionally committed, are mentally concentrated, and are physically engaged in their work, their work is more likely to be satisfactory. This subsequently translates into improved performance outcomes, such as high productivity, low turnover, and higher organizational commitment (Massey and Schneider, 2008). Job satisfaction, however, has been known to be a major determinant of employee well-being, retention, and motivation for many years. This is the general attitude of an individual towards his work, influenced by both internal (eg, sense of meaningful work, opportunities for development) and external factors (eg, pay, working status, and job safety).

The association between employee engagement and job satisfaction has been consistently confirmed by recent studies, demonstrating a strong, positive, and reciprocal association between the two variables. Employee engagement—made up of emotional commitment, passion, and deep attachment to work—has been found to strongly influence job satisfaction. Existing literature, which includes work conducted by Rai and Maheshwari (2021) and Albrecht et al. (2022), confirms that the most engaged employees are the most satisfied with their jobs, their responsibilities, and where they work. These employees are likely to get meaning from work, perceive that leadership is with them, and are motivated by reward and career advancement opportunities. Conversely, high levels of job satisfaction result in increased engagement through the development of a positive emotional climate, reduced stress, and discretionary effort. Gallup's (2023) large-scale survey also confirmed this relationship, indicating that organizations with higher employee engagement scores also had significantly higher satisfaction and retention rates. The study also noted that companies which actively invest in workers' well-being, communication, and empowerment have greater alignment between engagement and satisfaction levels. This synergistic interdependence further leads to a virtuous cycle where engagement drives satisfaction and satisfaction stimulates engagement, leading to improved productivity, innovation, and organizational commitment. Engagement and satisfaction are thus both essential to foster in order to create a high-performing, resilient team in the fast-changing workplaces of today. Job satisfaction often varies with the total years of work experience, reflecting changes in employees' expectations, values, and career trajectories over time. Several studies have explored this relationship, with findings suggesting that job satisfaction tends to increase with

experience—particularly in the early to mid stages of a career—before plateauing or slightly declining in later years. According to a study conducted by Ng and Feldman (2010), the most experienced employees tend to be more satisfied with their jobs due to higher job mastery, stability, and organization familiarity. Experienced employees are also more realistic in their expectations, which assists them in controlling stress and having a positive work attitude. This has been supported by research by Kosteas (2011), which states that years of experience do lead to increased perceived autonomy and competence, both of which are good predictors of job satisfaction. Some research, however, such as that by Bader, Hashim, and Zaharim (2013), purports that after some time, job satisfaction might decrease because of stagnation, insufficient new challenges, or failure to achieve long-term career aspirations. More recently, a study by Jena and Pradhan (2021) highlighted that generational differences and evolving career motivations also influence how job satisfaction aligns with experience levels, particularly in fast-changing industries. Generally, the dynamics between total experience and job satisfaction are complex—while experience typically increases satisfaction via skill acquisition, security, and professional identity, it needs to be balanced by ongoing growth potential in order to maintain high rates of engagement and satisfaction.

In a Kahn (1990) article, emotional engagement is first defined as the self-actualization of the employee in performing the organizational task allocation: in engagement, individuals use and express themselves physically, emotionally, literally, and conceptually in role performances. All such stressors and stress symptoms created by the organizations, including all these, were identified by Kang and Singh (2006). The research ended by concluding that workers indicated stringent rules, poor interrelations, poor organizational environments, work inhibitors, and thoughtless authorities as major stress inducers. Aggressiveness, changing thoughts, anxiety, and poor performance are some typical stress intermediary indicators. The researchers recommended, in the study, that the company construct a climate of trust and respect and reward, participation, and effective communication, and sensitize the administrators to the needs of the employees. Mwakidimi, D., et al. (2015). Their report Pay and Work Effects of the Impact of HRM Techniques on Employee Retention at Kenya Power Company Ltd. is the name of a research paper. The variables examined in the research will be centered on three independent variables, namely pay structures, placement, and leadership. All the points that have been mentioned above are usually considered to be the key drivers of employee retention and, if well managed, are able to produce a high rate of retention of key staff. In 2014, Shanmuga and Vijayadurai explained that "the emotional attachment of an employee to their work, their colleagues, or their organization, which can be both positive or negative, influences their emotion in learning and work performance." Schaufeli, the author, and other colleagues in 2006 p. 702 explained that "work engagement is a positive, work-related state of mind characterized by vigor, dedication, and absorption." Saks (2006) explains employee engagement includes a unique and distinct construct because it involves behavioural, emotional, and cognitive processes related to effort allocation towards individual performance. Schaufeli et al. in 2002. This is work engagement, and it is a rewarding, enriching experience that is job-related and defined by vigor, dedication, and absorption. Once again, Maslach et al. (2001) contend that if exhaustions, cynicism, and inefficacy can be considered burnout, then the reverse of the three dimensions is engagement, which is defined by energy, involvement, and efficacy. The outcome of this study has shown that the more content the employees are with the condition of their lives, the more they engage with their work (Vorina, 2013). They proceeded to demonstrate that employee engagement levels would mirror the growth of ICT skill development, as depicted by Vorina, David, and Vrabich-Vukotic (2013). The study managed to accomplish the above objectives by relating Rathi's (2011) results on the three organizational commitment facets: affective—belonging, portraying a sense of concern; behavioral—behaving in support of one's commitment; Bedford College Students' Union; and the domain of psychological well-being. As expounded by way of figures, two sets of past employee engagement measures have been discovered in existing studies. This research quantifies the impact of organizational culture, work satisfaction, and leadership style on employee performance (Ratnasari Sri Langgeng et al. 2020). Adhikari (2009) states that employee erosion and harassment causes in the software industry can be categorized into four types, which are job concomitant, employer-related issues, employee capability, and compensation.

Out of these four factors that surfaced, compensation appeared to have the smallest impact on erosion. Hewitts Associates (2006), Sherman et al. (2006), Abassi and Hollman (2000), and Hewitts Associates (2006) all cite a number of reasons why staff decide to leave these types of businesses: the management style; poor recruitment practices; lack of recognition; an unfriendly work environment; and no competitive wage structure. Other reasons may be not being promoted, not receiving training or advancing, doing nothing stimulating, and insecurity in the job. Certain intrinsic and extrinsic drivers would be of help. In accordance with Homand Gaertner (2000), there are certain appropriate antecedents for employee retention or turnover that consist of job satisfaction, organizational commitment, job search, alternative comparisons, withdrawal cognitions, and intentions to leave. As Schuler et al. (2011) noted, "Retention strategies should include the following: managers' promises regarding talent management of all employees—not just the "talent" few; willingness to search for recruits globally; manager accountability for talent losses; and pay based on retention benefits." Research

findings by Company Tangetal (2000) suggest that retention of employees is positively correlated with only a level of an employee's job satisfaction. Semih and Tugba (2016) carried out research that tested the local change in standard deviation between labor market satisfaction and job position satisfaction. The results indicate a change in job satisfaction. The link between marital status and job satisfaction has been a topic of growing interest, as individual life factors like marriage can have a considerable influence on workplace attitudes and overall well-being. Research indicates that marital status plays a considerable role in shaping job satisfaction, with married individuals often reporting higher levels of satisfaction compared to their unmarried counterparts. A study by Stutzer and Frey (2006) suggested that marriage tends to provide emotional stability, social support, and a sense of responsibility, which can positively impact one's attitude toward work and overall life satisfaction. In addition, married workers can enjoy dual sources of financial and emotional security, which are associated with lower stress levels and a better work-life balance. Later research has also analyzed this link in cross-cultural and organizational settings. For instance, Gazioglu and Tansel (2020) established that married people in developing nations, where family support systems are stronger, reported much greater job satisfaction than single or divorced people. On the other hand, there is some evidence that single people might have less work-family conflict, which may result in greater job satisfaction in some high-demand professions (Lopez & Ramos, 2019). Furthermore, gender dynamics play a considerable part in this relationship; a study by Jena and Pradhan (2021) found that married women, particularly from dual-career families, can experience specific difficulties in juggling family obligations against career pressures and consequently may see their job satisfaction impacted differently than that of their male counterparts. In general, marital status positively correlates with job satisfaction because of the buffering influences of emotional and social support, which in turn often accompany marriage. It is essential, however, to also recognize that personal conditions like gender roles, culture, and organizational work-life balance efforts moderate this relationship. As work-family life changes in contemporary society, increasingly sophisticated research is needed to completely grasp how marital status crosses over with job satisfaction across demographic groups.

Research Objectives

H₀₁: There is no significant correlation between employee engagement and job satisfaction within the organization.

H₀₂: There is no significant difference in job satisfaction among individuals with total years of experience.

H₀₃: There is no significant difference in job satisfaction or marital status of the individual.

Research Methodology

The study involved participants within the corporate IT park in Chennai, which is located in the southern part of India. The target population included those persons, 18 years and above, in different establishments, business places, or organizations in this area. The participants were selected from different locations and towns in Chennai, and a total of 100 respondents were administered. Out of them, 36 were male (36%) while 64 were females (64%).

In this research, the questionnaire was developed with twenty closed-ended questions. It comprised four questions relating to demographic data, like gender, age, marital status, and total company experience. Although the questionnaire was developed by the author Vorina (2017), the part that aims at assessing the level of engagement of the employees is the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale. The collection of the data took place through distributing the Google questionnaires from 4/1/2024 to 14/4/2024. During this period, the authors conducted interviews with colleagues, neighbours from the IT park, friends, and acquaintances. All the participants spent approximately five minutes completing the developed questionnaire. The procedure was developed to be easy to implement so the participants would feel free to engage with the provided questions. In this approach, it was possible to guarantee a high level of self-organizing learning and the reliability of the responses received. Data processing: The collected data were analyzed using IBM SPSS, version 20. We have also used the Microsoft tools Word and Excel. Regarding the purpose and objectives of the research, we used the ANOVA test, the independent T-test, and correlation analysis.

Data Collection

Primary data in this study was collected through a survey method using a structured questionnaire. The questionnaire was designed to capture specific information relevant to the research objectives. To ensure the accuracy and reliability of the responses, a Likert scale was used to measure the attitudes, opinions, and perceptions of the respondents. The Likert scale provided a range of responses (such as strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree, and strongly disagree), allowing for a detailed analysis of the data collected from the

participants. This approach enabled the researcher to gather quantitative data that could be analyzed systematically.

In Table 1, the consistency of scale has been assessed through Cronbach’s alpha. The generally accepted value for Cronbach’s alpha is 0.70. Here, as in all the components, Cronbach’s alpha is more than 0.70, which shows the internal consistency of the questionnaire.

Table 1

Component	Cronbach’s alpha	Number of questions	Source
Employee Engagement	0.867	12	Anton Vorina, ECONOMIC THEMES (2017)
Job Satisfaction	0.872	8	Anton Vorina, ECONOMIC THEMES (2017)

Data Analysis and Findings

Table 2 presents the demographic details of the sample population. Among the age categories, 48% are between 18 and 25 years old, 18% fall into the 26-35 year range, another 18% are aged 36-45, and 16% are over 45 years old. Gender distribution shows that 64% of the sample are women, while 36% are men. Regarding marital status, 52% of the individuals are unmarried, and 48% are married. In terms of professional experience, 44% of the population have more than 6 years of experience, whereas 42% have 2 years of experience or less, and 2-4 years experienced individuals are 8%, whereas the other 6% are experienced for 4-6 years.

Table 2

Demographic Variable		Frequency	Percentage
Age	18-25	48	48%
	26-35	18	18%
	36-45	18	18%
	45 above	16	16%
<i>Total</i>		100	100%
Gender	Female	64	64%
	Male	36	36%
<i>Total</i>		100	100%
Marital Status	Unmarried	52	52%
	Married	48	48%
<i>Total</i>		100	100%
Total years of experience	Below 2 years	42	42%
	2-4 years	8	8%
	4-6 years	6	6%
	6+ years	44	44%
<i>Total</i>		100	100%

Correlation Analysis

Table 3 shows the correlation between dependent and independent variables such as: The value of the Pearson correlation coefficient between employee engagement and job satisfaction is 0.680, which indicates a high positive correlation between them. So, H₁₁ is accepted.

Table 3

Correlations

		EE	JS
EE	Pearson Correlation	1	.680**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	100	100
JS	Pearson Correlation	.680**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	100	100

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Anova

Table 4 denotes that the P value is 0.004, which is less than 0.05, and hence H_{12} is accepted.

There is a significant difference in job satisfaction among individuals with total years of experience.

Table 4

JS

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	257.365	3	85.788	4.804	.004
Within Groups	1714.195	96	17.856		
Total	1971.560	99			

Table 5 denotes that the P value is 0.005, which is less than 0.05, and hence H_{13} is accepted.

There is a significant difference in job satisfaction and the marital status of individuals.

TABLE 5

JS

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	152.816	1	152.816	8.234	.005
Within Groups	1818.744	98	18.559		
Total	1971.560	99			

Implication and Suggestions

The findings of this study should be interpreted in light of certain underlying limitations. Firstly, the sample size was restricted to 100 respondents, which may not be sufficiently large to yield statistically robust conclusions or to capture the full spectrum of experiences and perceptions within the broader population. A small sample can introduce potential biases and limit the reliability and generalizability of the results. Secondly, the research was conducted within the confines of a single urban location, thereby restricting the geographical diversity of the sample. Given that organizational cultures, work environments, and employee expectations can vary significantly across regions, the findings may not be directly applicable to other cities, rural areas, or international contexts.

Moreover, the study was confined to a limited range of organizational settings, which may not account for the diversity of practices across different sectors, industries, or types of employment. This narrow focus may have overlooked important contextual factors that could significantly influence employee satisfaction and engagement. In light of these limitations, there is considerable scope for future research to expand upon and deepen the current findings.

Subsequent studies would benefit from incorporating larger and more diverse samples to enhance statistical reliability and the representativeness of the data. Expanding the geographic scope to include multiple cities, regions, or even countries would facilitate cross-cultural comparisons and provide a more holistic understanding of employee experiences. Additionally, examining a broader range of industries and organizational structures would help capture sector-specific dynamics and challenges, thereby contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of the factors that influence employee satisfaction and engagement.

Conclusions and Managerial Implications

The study has brought to light the importance of employee satisfaction in HR strategies for reducing the rate of attrition and enhancing employee involvement. This research indicates how interactions between these variables and influencing aspects may affect the overall level of satisfaction by systematically analyzing those aspects affecting employee satisfaction. From these results, which show a significant positive relationship between several influencing factors and the response variable of employee well-being, the specific interventions needed are those targeting and improving these areas. With this data, organizations can devise a strategic plan that would engender engagement, improve employee well-being, and eventually translate into better retention. It also brings out the fact that concerning job satisfaction and employee engagement, a strong correlation exists; the higher one's level of engagement, the happier a worker will be. In the demographic research, it can also be noted that in most cases, younger workers, particularly those between the ages of 18 and 25 years of age participate

more than older workers, indicating a high number of youths in the workforce. Moreover, the majority of female respondents show that females are more concerned and communicative about survey-based research. However, statistically speaking, there is no significant relationship between gender and independent variables in this study of job satisfaction and employee engagement. In addition, the present study does not indicate any significant association between age/work experience and employee engagement, possibly demonstrating that these factors may not influence the state of engagement in the current sample.

References

- Abraham, S. (2012).** Job satisfaction as an antecedent to employee engagement. *Journal of Management*, 8(2).
- Adhikari, P. R. (2019).** Determinants of Job Satisfaction and Their Impact on Employee Performance in Nepalese Commercial Banks. *The Journal of Economic Concerns*, 10(1), 74-81.
- Albrecht, S. L., Furlong, S., & Leiter, M. P. (2023).** The psychological conditions for employee engagement in organizational change: Test of a change engagement model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1071924.
- Bader, H. A., Hashim, I. H., & Zaharim, N. M. (2013).** Workplace Friendships among Bank Employees in Eastern Libya. *Digest of Middle East Studies*, 22(1), 94-116.
- Chowen, C. O. (2012).** Organizational practices influencing turnover intention in a restructured bank environment in Nigeria. *Ibadan Journal of the Social Sciences*, 10(2), 67-77.
- Das, B. L., & Baruah, M. (2013).** Employee retention: A review of literature. *Journal of business and management*, 14(2), 8-16.
- Gaertner, S. (1999).** Structural determinants of job satisfaction and organizational commitment in turnover models. *Human resource management review*, 9(4), 479-493.
- Girei, A. A. (2021).** Effect of Organizational Conflict on Employee Job Satisfaction in Federal Medical Center Yola, Adamawa State.
- Jena, L. K., Bhattacharyya, P., & Pradhan, S. (2017).** Employee engagement and affective organizational commitment: Mediating role of employee voice among Indian service sector employees. *Vision*, 21(4), 356-366.
- Kahn, W. A. (1990).** Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of management journal*, 33(4), 692-724.
- Kamalanabhan, T. J., Sai, L. P., & Mayuri, D. (2009).** Employee engagement and job satisfaction in the information technology industry. *Psychological reports*, 105(3), 759-770.
- Kang, L. S. & Singh, R. (2006).** Stress at work: An assessment of the magnitude of various organizational stressors. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 190-202.
- Khapova, S. N. & Jansen, P. G. (2014).** Effects of employees' career competency development on their organizations. *Career Development International*, 19(6), 700-717.
- Kosteas, V. D. (2011).** Job satisfaction and promotions. *Industrial Relations: A Journal of Economy and Society*, 50(1), 174-194.
- Mwakidimi, D. M. (2010).** *A Human Resource Perspective Of Goal Setting On Employee Performance And Job Satisfaction (The Case Of Crown Paints (K) Limited)* (Doctoral dissertation, University Of Nairobi).
- Ng, T. W., & Feldman, D. C. (2010).** The relationships of age with job attitudes: A meta-analysis. *Personnel psychology*, 63(3), 677-718.
- Noercahyo, U. S., Maarif, M. S., & Sumertajaya, I. M. (2021).** The role of employee engagement on job satisfaction and its effect on organizational performance. *Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen*, 19(2), 296-309.
- Priya, I. S., & Vijayadurai, J. (2014).** Employee engagement in organizations. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 6(34), 5-10.
- Rai, A., & Maheshwari, S. (2020).** Exploring the mediating role of work engagement between the linkages of job characteristics with organizational engagement and job satisfaction. *Management Research Review*, 44(1), 133-157.

- Ramos-Villagrasa, P. J., Barrada, J. R., Fernández-del-Río, E., & Koopmans, L. (2019).** Assessing job performance using brief self-report scales: The case of the individual work performance questionnaire. *Revista de Psicología del Trabajo y de las Organizaciones*, 35(3), 195-205.
- Rathi, N., Rastogi, R., & Rangnekar, D. (2011).** Quality of work life, organisational commitment, and psychological well-being. A study of the Indian employees. *International Journal of Contemporary Business Studies*, 2(4), 2156-7506.
- Ratnasari, S. L., Prasetiyo, E. J., & Hakim, L. (2020).** The effect of organizational commitment, organizational culture, work environment, and leadership style on job satisfaction. *Enrichment: Journal of Management*, 11(1, Novembe), 57-62.
- Ratnasari, S. L., Sutjahjo, G., & Adam, A. (2020).** The effect of job satisfaction, organizational culture, and leadership on employee performance. *Ann. Trop. Med. Public Health*, 23.
- Saks, A. M. (2006).** Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of managerial psychology*, 21(7), 600-619.
- Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., & Salanova, M. (2006).** The measurement of work engagement with a short questionnaire: A cross-national study. *Educational and psychological measurement*, 66(4), 701-716.
- Schuler, R. & E. Jackson, S. (2014).** Human resource management and organizational effectiveness: yesterday and today. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 1(1), 35-55.
- Thakur, P. (2014).** A research paper on the effect of employee engagement on job satisfaction in the IT sector. *Journal of Business Management & Social Sciences Research*, 3(5), 31-39.
- Vorina, A., & Ojsteršek, T. (2019).** Analysis of the relationship between leadership styles and employee engagement. *Balkans Journal of Emerging Trends in Social Sciences*, 2(1), 107-114.
- Vorina, A., Simonič, M., & Vlasova, M. (2017).** An analysis of the relationship between job satisfaction and employee engagement. *Economic themes*, 55(2), 243-262.
- Warr, P., & Inceoglu, I. (2012).** Job engagement, job satisfaction, and contrasting associations with person-job fit. *Journal of occupational health psychology*, 17(2), 129.